A<u>literature review</u> is a survey of scholarly sources that provides an overview of a particular topic. It generally follows a discussion of the paper's thesis statement or the study's goals or purpose. Format your title page according to your university guidelines. Running head: FINAL RESEARCH PAPER Running head: CASE STUDY Ashford's Title Page Rockies' Title Page Final Research Paper: Common Core State Standards Case Study: MRA Associates, Inc. and Xecodynamics Sally Student Samuel Student University of the Rockies ENG122: English Composition II Professor Smith June 21, 2017

*This sample paper was adapted by the Writing Center from Key, K.L., Rich, C., DeCristofaro, C., Collins, S. (2010). Use of Propofol and emergence agitation in children: A literature review. *AANA Journal*, *78*(6). Retrieved from www.aana.com. Used by permission.

Use of Propofol and Emergence Agitation in Children: A Literature Review

In 1961, Eckenhoff, Kneale, and Dripps described the phenomenon of emergence agitation (EA), reporting signs of hyperexcitation in patients emerging from ether, cyclopropane, or ketamine anesthesia. EA during recovery from general anesthesia has been identified as a frequent problem in the pediatric population. In children, EA has been described as a mental disturbance that consists of confusion, hallucinations, and delusions manifested by moaning, restlessness, involuntary physical activity, and thrashing about in bed (Sikich & Lerman, 2004). The overall rate for EA in children is in the range of 10% to 67% (Aouad & Nasr, 2005), which includes a period of severe restlessness, disorientation, and/or inconsolable crying during anesthesia emergence (Cole, Murray, McAllister, & Hirschberg, 2002).

EA was reported as a problem in general anesthesia recovery before the development of the modern inhalational agents (sevoflurane and desflurane), and EA has been shown to occur with the use of all anesthetic gases. The increased use of sevoflurane and desflurane in recent years has been associated with a higher incidence of EA compared with isoflurane and halothane. It is suggested that substituting sevoflurane and isoflurane for maintenance of anesthesia significantly reduces the incidence of EA in preschool children (Bortone et al., 2006), and that the use of adjunctive agents such as propofol added to sevoflurane can reduce the incidence of EA compared with sevoflurane alone (Abu-Shahwan, 2008; Aouad et al., 2007; Uezono et al., 2000). The goal of this literature review is to compare three categories of

anesthesia techniques and their associated incidence of EA in children.

Sevoflurane Inhalational General Anesthesia

The introduction wraps up with a clear purpose.

Sevoflurane was released in 1994, and has beneficial anesthetic properties such as a rapid onset of anesthesia, nonpungent odor, and rapid emergence from anesthesia (Baum, Yemen, & Baum, 1997). Sevoflurane is currently the inhalational agent of choice for pediatric anesthesia

because of its rapidity of induction and pleasant, nonirritating odor (Moore et al., 2003). While the incidence of EA in children who receive a sevoflurane anesthetic was noted to be as low as 20% for ear, nose, and throat surgery (Nakayama, Furukawa, & Yanai, 2007), it has been found as high as 60% in circumcision populations (Tazeroualti et al., 2007). It has been suggested that sevoflurane are sevoflurane anesthetic was noted to be as low as 20% for ear, nose, and throat surgery (Nakayama, Furukawa, & Yanai, 2007), it has been found as high as 60% in circumcision populations (Tazeroualti et al., 2007). It has been suggested that sevoflurane are sevoflurane causes epileptogenic activity that contributes to EA behaviors. Clinically observed seizures, as we as seizures proved by electroencephalography, have been reported during sevoflurane induction, maintenance, and recovery in both children and adults, whether epileptic or not (Veyckemans, 2001).

Propofol and Sevoflurane General Anesthetic

Propofol is an intravenously administered general anesthetic released for use in 1989. Propofol has been studied in adult populations as well as in pediatric surgical, ophthalmologic, urologic, radiologic, gastrointestinal endoscopy, and dental procedures (Kaddu, Bhattacharya, Metriyakool, Thomas, & Tolia, 2002). Propofol has several advantages and can be used in many settings in anesthesia, including inpatient and outpatient procedures. General anesthesia with propofol is characterized by a rapid recovery and a calm, sometimes euphoric state (Abu-Shahwan, 2008).

To learn when to use et al. in a citation, see the <u>APA Style</u> <u>Elements</u> page.

Propofol has been demonstrated to be effective as an adjunct to sevoflurane inhalational general anesthesia in reducing the incidence of EA (Abu-Shahwan, 2008; Aouad et al., 2007). Aouad et al. (2007) demonstrated that propofol as an adjunct decreased the incidence of EA in children to 19.5% of study participants compared with 47.2% in patients who received sevoflurane alone. Abu-Shahwan (2008) found that a subhypnotic dose of propofol significantly decreased the incidence of EA. The propofol group had a 4.8% incidence versus a 26.8%

Use level headings to organize

the literature review by topic.

incidence for sevoflurane alone group (Abu-Shahwan, 2008). These findings suggest that the use of propofol with sevoflurane can help reduce the rate of EA.

Propofol Total Intravenous Anesthesia

Propofol total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) techniques have also demonstrated a In this paragraph, the authors have reduction in EA in children. In the study by Cohen, Finkel, Hannallah, Hummer, and Patel synthesized the literature, by (2003) of sevoflurane inhalational anesthesia versus a propofol TIVA technique, there were discussing multiple findings and adding their significantly higher rates of EA in the sevoflurane group compared with the propofol group own ideas. (23.1% versus 3.7%). In a study by Picard, Dumont, and Pellegrini (2000) of the quality of recovery in children, a sevoflurane inhalational anesthetic and propofol TIVA techniques were compared, with a reduction in EA rates observed in the propofol TIVA group (46% versus 9%, respectively). A reduction in EA from 42% to 11% was seen in children 2 to 5 years of age with propofol TIVA compared with sevoflurane inhalational general anesthesia (Nakayama, Furukawa, & Yanai, 2007). In a small study of children presenting for eye surgery (n = 16), a propofol TIVA technique had an EA incidence of 0%, in contrast to a cohort managed with sevoflurane inhalational general anesthetic, which produced an EA incidence of 38% (Uezono et al., 2000). Together, these studies indicate that the use of a propofol TIVA technique can significantly reduce the incidence of EA in children. In this paragraph, the authors have tied

Discussion

In this paragraph, the authors have fied together the research and made a <mark>conclusion</mark>.

A thorough review of the literature revealed the incidence of EA to be reduced with the use of a propofol TIVA technique compared with a sevoflurane inhalational general anesthetic. Also, the incidence of EA was reduced significantly with propofol as an adjunct to a sevoflurane inhalational general anesthetic (Abu-Shahwan, 2008; Aouad et al., 2005; Aouad et al., 2007). According to the literature evidence base, there is an advantage to either propofol TIVA or adjunctive propofol with sevoflurane (compared with sevoflurane alone). We conclude, based on the current evidence, that the use of propofol is associated with a reduction in the incidence of emergence agitation.

In the current literature review, a major limitation discovered is the need for the consistent use of a validated scale for assessing EA across all studies. Numerous studies of EA have used a variety of scales, which measure EA by different criteria. According to Sikich and Lerman (2004), the Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium (PAED) scale is a reliable and valid tool based on the scale's reliability, content, and initial construct validity profile determined through their study. Aouad and Nasr (2005) recommended that the PAED scale can be used as a reliable and valid tool that would minimize measurement error in the clinical evaluation of EA. If future studies use the same validated assessment scale (such as the PAED), results can be more easily compared and strengthened.

Conclusion

In this paragraph, the authors have pointed out a limitation in the existing literature, and made recommendations about how to address it.

EA is an important issue in pediatric anesthesia and has increased in occurrence with the use of sevoflurane inhalational anesthesia. The goal of this literature review was to compare three general anesthesia techniques in children and their associated incidence of EA. The three techniques were (a) sevoflurane inhalational general anesthetic, (b) propofol as an adjunct to sevoflurane inhalational general anesthetic, and (c) propofol TIVA techniques. The reviewed literature suggests that there are advantages to the use of propofol TIVA techniques and adjunctive propofol anesthetics when combined with a sevoflurane inhalational technique. This reduction in EA with propofol use in conjunction with or separately from sevoflurane has been widely documented throughout the literature (Abu-Shahwan, 2008; Aouad et al., 2005; Aouad et al., 2007). Current research supports the use of propofol, as discussed above; however, a

LITERATURE REVIEW

continuation of current research with consistent and strengthened methodologies will help justify its use and application in clinical practice.

The <u>conclusion</u> should be a succinct, one-paragraph reiteration of your literature review.

References

Abu-Shahwan, I. (2008). Effect of propofol on emergence behavior in children after sevoflurane general anesthesia. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 18(1), 55–59. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9592.2007.02376.x

- Aouad, M. T. & Nasr, V. G. (2005). Emergence agitation in children: An update. *Current Opinion in Anesthesiology*, *18*(6), 614–619.
- Aouad, M.T., Yazbeck-Karam, V.G., Nasr, V.G., El-Khatib, M.F., Kanazi, G.E., & Bleik, J.H.
 (2007). A single dose of propofol at the end of surgery for the prevention of emergence agitation in children undergoing strabismus surgery during sevoflurane anesthesia.
 Anesthesiology, 105(5), 733-738. doi:10.1097/01.anes.0000287009.46896.a7
- Baum, V.C., Yemen, T.A., Batum, L.D. (1997). Immediate 8% sevoflurane induction in children: A comparison with incremental sevoflurane and incremental halothane.
 Anesthesia & Analgesia, 85(2), 313-316.
- Bortone, L., Ingelmo, P., Grossi, S., Grattagliano, C., Bricchi, C., Barantani, D.,...Mergoni, M. (2006). Emergence agitation in preschool children: double-blind, randomized, controlled trial comparing sevoflurane and isoflurane anesthesia. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 16, 1138–1143. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9592.2006.01954.x
- Cohen, I. T., Finkel, J. C., Hannallah, R. S., Hummer, K. A., & Patel, K. M. (2003). Rapid emergence does not explain agitation following sevoflurane anaesthesia in infants and children: A comparison with propofol. *Pediatric Anesthesia*, 13(1), 63–67. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9592.2003.00948.x

- Cole, J.W., Murray, D.J., McAllister, J.D., & Hirschberg, G.E. (2002). Emergence behavior in children: Defining the incidence of excitement and agitation following anesthesia.
 Pediatric Anesthesia, 12(5), 442-447. doi: 10.1046/j.1460-9592.2002.00868.x
- Eckenhoff, J. E., Kneale, D. H., & Dripps, R.D. (1961). The incidence and etiology of postanesthetic excitement: A clinical survey. *Anesthesiology*, *22*, 667–673.
- Kaddu, R., Bhattacharya, D., Metriyakool, K., Thomas, R., & Tolia, V. (2002). Propofol compared with general anesthesia for pediatric GI endoscopy: Is propofol better?
 Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 55(1), 27-32.
- Nakayama, S., Furukawa, H., & Yanai, H. (2007). Propofol reduces the incidence of emergence agitation in preschool-aged children as well as in school-aged children: A comparison with sevoflurane. *Journal of Anesthesia.*, *21*(1), 19–23. doi: 10.1007/s00540-006-0466-x
- Moore, J.K., Moore, E.W., Elliott, R.A. St. Leger, A.S. Payne, K., & Kerr, J. (2003). Propofol and halothane versus sevoflurane in pediatric day-case surgery: induction and recovery characteristics. *British Journal of Anesthesia*, *90*(4), 461-466.
- Picard, V., Dumont, L., & Pellegrini, M. (2000). Quality of recovery in children: Sevoflurane versus propofol. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica.*, 44(3), 307–310. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-6576.2000.440315.x
- Sikich, N., & Lerman, J. (2004). Development and psychometric evaluation of the pediatric anesthesia emergence delirium scale. *Anesthesiology*, *100*(5), 1138-1145.
- Tazeroualti, N., DeGroote, F., DeHert, S., DeVille, A., Dierick, A., Van der Linden, P. (2007).
 Oral clonidine vs. midazolam in the prevention of sevoflurane-induced agitation in children: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *British Journal of Anesthesia*, 98(5), 667-671. doi: 10.1093/bja/aem071

- Uezono, S., Goto, T., Terui, K., Ichinose, F., Ishguro, Y., Nakata, Y., & Morita, S. (2000).
 Emergence agitation after sevoflurane versus propofol in pediatric patients. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *91*(3), 563–566. doi: 10.1213/00000539-200009000-00012
- Veyckemans, F. (2001). Excitation phenomena during sevoflurane anaesthesia in children. *Current Opinion in Anesthesiology*, *14*(3), 339-343.
- Vlajkovic, G. P., & Sindjelic, R. P. (2007). Emergence delirium in children: Many questions, few answers. *Anesthesia & Analgesia, 104*(1), 84–91. doi:

10.1213/01.ane.0000250914.91881.a8